



ANTONIO GIDI

Class Actions in Brazil— A Model for Civil Law Countries

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	312
2. DIFFERENCES BETWEEN AMERICAN AND BRAZILIAN LEGAL SYSTEMS	315
2.1 Introduction	315
2.2 American System of Civil Procedure	316
2.3 Brazilian System of Civil Procedure	318
2.4 Individual Actions and Class Actions	320
3. BRIEF HISTORY	323
3.1 Pioneers in Civil Law Class Action	323
3.2 The Brazilian Class Action Movement	326
3.3 Brazilian Class Action: A Generation Later	329
3.4 The (Cloudy) Future of Class Action in Brazil	331
4. THE CONCEPT OF CLASS ACTION	334
4.1 Class Action Defined	334
4.2 Class Action or Collective Action?	335
4.3 Consent of Absentees: Opt In v. Opt Out	337

ANTONIO GIDI is Assistant Professor of Law, University of Detroit Mercy School of Law. Adjunct Professor, University of Pennsylvania Law School. LL.B., Federal University of Bahia, Brazil; LL.M., Ph.D., PUC University, Sao Paulo, Brazil; S.J.D., University of Pennsylvania Law School. The author would like to thank Neil Andrews, Stephen Burkank, Loïc Cadiet, Richard Cappalli, Edward Cooper, Angelo Dondi, Ada P. Grinover, Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr., Mary Kay Kane, Per Henrik Lindblom, Richard Marcus, Barbosa Moreira, Keith Rosenn, Tom Rowe, and Gerhard Walter for their thoughtful comments on an earlier draft of this article. By pointing to ambiguous aspects of the text, they cleared my own thoughts, and made a profound contribution to this paper. The normal assumption of sole personal fallibility applies. I gratefully acknowledge the invaluable linguistic assistance provided by my former students Matthew Duncan, Natalie Thingelstad, and Michael Stamp, University of Pennsylvania Law School.

An earlier version of this paper was delivered in July 22, 2000 at a symposium sponsored by Duke and Geneva Universities entitled "Debates Over Group Litigation in Comparative Perspective: What Can We Learn from Each Other?" I thank Professor Thomas Rowe for organizing the symposium, and for inviting me to participate. See Rowe, "Debates Over Group Litigation in Comparative Perspective: What Can We Learn From Each Other?" 11 *Duke J.Comp. & Int'l L.* 157 (2001). The author also presented earlier versions to the faculties of the University of Miami School of Law, Louisiana State University Law Center, Temple University Law School, Osgoode Hall Law School, Detroit Mercy School of Law, and received several useful comments and criticisms at each session. The manuscript was completed in September 2001.

4.4	Innovations in Brazilian Class Actions	339
4.5	Shortcomings of Brazilian Class Actions	341
5.	TYPES OF GROUP RIGHTS	344
5.1	(In)Compatibility of Group Rights with Civil Law Tradition	344
5.2	Types of Group Rights Recognized in Brazil	349
5.3	Transindividual and Indivisible Group Rights	351
5.4	Group Rights in Practice	354
5.4.1	<i>Diffuse Rights</i>	354
5.4.2	<i>Collective Rights</i>	356
5.4.3	<i>Homogeneous Individual Rights</i>	357
5.5	Legal Definition of Group Rights: The Need for Flexibility	360
6.	COLLECTIVE STANDING	363
6.1	Civil Law Resistance to Collective Standing	363
6.2	Choice of Class Representative	365
6.3	Brazilian Approach to Collective Standing	366
6.4	The Role of Associations in the Protection of Group Rights	372
6.5	The Role of the <i>Ministère Public</i> in the Protection of Group Rights	379
6.6	<i>Parens Patriae</i> and the Associational Standing in American Class Actions	382
7.	RES JUDICATA	384
7.1	Comparative Overview of Res Judicata	384
7.2	Reconciling Res Judicata with Class Actions	386
7.3	Legislative Choices	387
7.4	Brazilian Approach to Res Judicata in Class Actions	388
7.5	Res Judicata and Insufficient Evidence	392
7.6	Rationale of the Brazilian Approach	394
7.7	Comparative Remarks	398
8.	LIS PENDENS	399
8.1	Two Types of <i>Lis Pendens</i>	399
8.2	Identical Class Actions	399
8.3	Class Action and Corresponding Individual Actions	401
9.	CONCLUSION	402
10.	APPENDIX	406
10.1	Brazilian Consumer Code	406